

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

BRUCE CORKER, *et al.*, on behalf of
themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

COSTCO WHOLESALE
CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-CV-00290-RSL

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
SETTLEMENTS AND DIRECTING
ISSUANCE OF NOTICE**

1. Upon review and consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Three Class Action Settlements ("Motion"), and all briefing, arguments, exhibits, and other evidence submitted in support thereof, including the agreements between Plaintiffs and The Kroger Co. ("Kroger"), between Plaintiffs and Safeway Inc. and Albertsons Companies Inc. ("Safeway/Albertsons"), and between Plaintiffs and Hawaiian Isles Kona Coffee Co., Ltd. ("HIKC"), (collectively referred to as "Settlement Agreements")¹, executed by Bruce Corker d/b/a Rancho Aloha, Melanie Bondera and Melanie Bondera, husband and wife, d/b/a Kanalani Ohana Farm, and Robert Smith and Cecilia Smith, husband and wife, d/b/a Smithfarms, and Smithfarms LLC ("Plaintiffs") and the respective settling Defendants (collectively "Parties"), for the purposes of the subject settlements, this Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
2. The Court grants preliminarily approval of the Settlement Agreements based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreements.
3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class,

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as set for in the Settlement Agreements.

1 composed of all persons and entities who farmed Kona coffee in the Kona District and then
2 sold their coffee from February 27, 2015 to the present, likely meets the requirements for
3 class certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) as follows:

- 4 a. The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members in a single
5 proceeding would be impracticable;
- 6 b. The members of the Settlement Class share common questions of law and fact;
- 7 c. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the Settlement Class Members;
- 8 d. The Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented
9 the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so; and
- 10 e. Questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over the
11 questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members, and certification of the
12 Settlement Class is superior to other available methods to the fair and efficient
13 adjudication of this controversy.

- 14 4. The Court finds, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(B)(i), that the proposed Settlement
15 Agreements are likely fair, reasonable, and adequate, entered into in good faith, and free
16 from collusion; that Class Counsel have ably represented the Class; and, that the relief,
17 monetary and injunctive, provided for in the Settlement Agreements outweighs the
18 substantial costs, the delay, and risks presented by further prosecution of issues during pre-
19 trial, trial, and possible appeal. Additionally, the proposed allocation plan treats Settlement
20 Class Members equitably. Based on these factors, the Court concludes that, pursuant to Fed.
21 R. Civ. P. 23(e), the Settlement Agreements meet the criteria for preliminary settlement
22 approval and are deemed fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice to the Settlement
23 Class is appropriate.
- 24 5. The Court appoints Nathan Paine, of Karr Tuttle Campbell, and Jason Lichtman, Daniel
25 Seltz, and Andrew Kaufman, of Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP as Settlement
26 Class Counsel upon consideration of the factors set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g).

6. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)² and 23(c)(2)(B), the Court approves, as to form and content:

- a. The proposed Notice (“Notice”), in substantially the form attached as Exhibit 4 with Plaintiffs’ Motion. The Court finds that Notice is a reasonable method calculated to reach members of the Settlement Class who would be bound by the Settlement Agreements. The Notice will be sent via First Class U.S. Mail to all members for whom address information is available, and posted on the Settlement Website, www.KonaCoffeeSettlement.com.
- b. The Publication Notice, in substantially the form attached as Exhibit 5 with Plaintiffs’ Motion, which will be published in the West Hawaii Daily. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice and Publication Notice because they concisely state in plain, easily understood language, *inter alia*: (1) the nature of the *Corker* case and the Settlement Agreements, including the terms thereof; (2) the definition of the Settlement Class; (3) the Plaintiffs’ applications for service awards; (4) that a Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance on their own behalf or through an attorney and the procedures for filing an objection to the Settlement Agreements; (5) contact information for Settlement Class Counsel, and a toll-free number to ask questions about the Settlement Agreements; (6) the address of the case-specific website (the “Settlement Website”) maintained by the Settlement Administrator that links to important case documents, including motion for preliminary approval papers, and instructions on how to access the case docket via PACER or in person; (7) important dates in the settlement approval process, including the date of the Final

² Specifically, pursuant to 23(e)(1)(B), the Court finds that notice can be directed “in a reasonable manner to all class members who would be bound by the proposal if giving notice is justified by the parties’ showing that the court will likely be able to: (i) approve the proposal under Rule 23(e)(2); and (ii) certify the class for purposes of judgment on the proposal.”

Approval Hearing (as described below); (8) the binding effect of a class judgment on Settlement Class Members; and (9) Settlement Class Counsel's forthcoming motion for fees and/or reimbursement of expenses.

7. The Court appoints JND Legal Administration as Settlement Administrator.

8. The Court finds that the Notice meets the requirements of due process under the U.S. Constitution and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

9. The Court directs:

a. The mailing of Settlement Class notice by First Class U.S. Mail and publication in the *West Hawaii Today*, shall begin within 21 days of the entry of this Order.

b. No later than 21 days after entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall update the Settlement Website. Specifically, the Settlement Website shall (1) post the Settlement Agreements and Notice; (2) notify Settlement Class Members of their rights to object or opt-out; (3) inform Settlement Class Members that they should monitor the Settlement Website for developments; and (4) notify Settlement Class Members that no further notice will be provided to them once the Court enters the Final Order and Judgment, other than updates on the Settlement Website.

c. The Settlement Administrator shall continue to maintain an email account and P.O. Box to which Settlement Class Members may submit questions regarding the Settlement Agreements. The Settlement Administrator will monitor the email account and P.O. Box and respond promptly to administrative inquiries from Settlement Class Members and direct new substantive inquiries to Settlement Class Counsel.

d. No later than 21 days after entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall update the toll-free telephone number that Settlement Class Members can call to receive additional information about the Settlement Agreements. The toll-free number shall be operational until at least the effective date of the Settlement Agreements.

10. All costs associated with implementing Notice, including fees and costs of the Settlement

Administrator, shall be paid out of the Settlement Funds.

11. No later than 63 days after entry of this Order, Settlement Class Counsel shall file its

application for attorneys' fees and/or expenses and Plaintiffs' request for service awards.

12. No later than 14 days before the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall

file an affidavit with the Court confirming its implementation of Notice in accordance with

this Order.

13. Any Settlement Class Member may comment on, or object to, the Settlement Agreements,


Settlement Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and costs, and/or the request for

Plaintiffs' service awards.

14. The following chart summarizes the dates and deadlines set by this Order:

Event	Date
Notice of Settlement to be Mailed by First Class U.S. Mail and Publication in the <i>West Hawaii Today</i> .	March 7, 2022
Update of Settlement Website	March 7, 2022
Update of Toll-Free Number	March 7, 2022
Deadline for Settlement Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and Plaintiffs' requests for service awards	April 18, 2022
Deadline to have postmarked and/or filed a written objection to the	May 2, 2022
Settlement Administrator affidavit of compliance with notice requirements	May 19, 2022
Final Approval Hearing	June 3, 2022 at 11:00 a.m.

1 Dated this 14th day of February, 2022.

2
3 

Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

5 Presented by:

6 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

7 /s/ Daniel E. Seltz

8 Jason L. Lichtman (pro hac vice)

Daniel E. Seltz (pro hac vice)

9 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor

New York, NY 10013

10 Telephone: 212-355-9500

11 Email: dseltz@lchb.com

12 KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL

13 Nathan T. Paine, WSBA #34487

Daniel T. Hagen, WSBA #54015

14 Joshua M. Howard, WSBA #52189

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300

15 Seattle, Washington 98104

206.223.1313

16 npaine@karrtuttle.com